2016-2017

Report to Board of Trustees and and Administration

Audit Plan Code: 17-05

## **COURSE GRADE AND GRADE-LEVEL CHANGES AUDIT**

Identified instances of noncompliance with District procedures for changing students' course grade(s) and grade-level(s).



EL PASO INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT Internal Audit Department



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
Summary of Findings Management's Corrective Action Plan Conclusion	2
INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT	3
Background Objective and Scope Methodology Inherent Limitations	3.3
FINDINGS (01 TO 02)	4
Table 1: Grade-Level Changes Finding 1- Conditions 1.1 – 1.9 Table 2: Course Grade Changes Finding 2- Conditions 2.1 -2. 7	
EXHIBIT A: CRITERIA1	2
EXHIBIT B: COMPARISON BETWEEN 2013-2014 (THREE PHASES) AND 2016-2017 AUDITS1	9
Grade-Level Changes Finding Trends:	

### ABBREVIATIONS LIST

CAP	Corrective Action Plan
CUM	Cumulative Record folder (student file)
EPISD	El Paso Independent School District
FY	Fiscal Year
GPA	Grade Point Average
IA	Internal Audit
TEA	Texas Education Agency
TEAMS	Total Education Administrative Management Solution
TEC	Texas Education Code

### **Executive Summary**

We have completed the Course Grade and Grade-Level Changes Audit for the 2015-2016 school year. The Executive Summary provides, on a summarized basis, the findings discussed throughout the body of the Internal Audit Report that follows. The Internal Audit Report includes background information and detailed findings, recommendations, management's Corrective Action Plan, and exhibits.

### Summary of Findings

- 1. We identified instances of non-compliance with District procedures for changing students' grade-levels listed as conditions 1.1 through 1.9 nine below.
  - 1.1. The "Request for High School Change in Placement Form" was not consistently located in 39 of 162 (24%) students' Cumulative Record Folder (CUM).
  - 1.2. There were 27 of 162 (17%) instances in which the student did not have the correct number of credits for a grade-level change. Of the 27 instances, <u>23 did not have</u> a "Request for High School Change in Placement Form" in the CUM.
  - 1.3. There were 26 (7% for each attachment) instances total in which the required three attachments to the "Request for High School Change in Placement Form" were not consistently located in students' CUMs.
  - 1.4. Grade-level changes were not entered in TEAMS within the five day requirement after receipt by the registrar in 10 of 123 (8%) instances.
  - 1.5. The "Request for High School Change in Placement Form" was not signed/approved by the principal in two of 123 (2%) instances.
  - 1.6. The "Request for High School Change in Placement Form" was not approved by the counselor in three of 123 (2%) instances.
  - 1.7. The registrar entered the grade-level change in TEAMS prior to approval/signature of principal on "Request for High School Change in Placement Form" in one of 123 (0.8%) instances.
  - 1.8. The "Divide Enrollment" field was not consistently entered in TEAMS in 28 of 162 (17%) instances. If Divide Enrollment is not entered in TEAMS when making grade-level changes, an effective date is not assigned for each grade level rather than giving the impression of one grade-level for the entire year.
  - 1.9. The "Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Checklist" was not affixed to the "Change In Grade Level Placement Form" in 51 of 123 (41%) instances.
- 2. We identified instances of non-compliance with District procedures for changing students' final semester course grades listed as conditions 2.1 through 2.7 below.
  - 2.1. There were 40 of 129 (31%) instances in which the "High School Grade Change Form" was not located in students' CUMs.
  - 2.2. There were two of 89 (2%) instances wherein the "High School Grade Change Form" was not signed by a teacher.
  - 2.3. There were 16 of 77 (21%) applicable instances in which the course grade changes were not entered in TEAMS within the three day requirement after principal approval.
  - 2.4. There were 10 of 88 (11%) applicable instances in which the registrar entered a revised grade change to a student's record in TEAMS prior to approval/signature of principal.
  - 2.5. There were three of 89 (3%) instances in which the registrar's signature was missing from the "High School Grade Change Form."
  - 2.6. There were 47 of 89 (53%) instances in which the "High School Grade Change Form" UIL (University Interscholastic League) information was not consistently filled out with required UIL information status for course.



2.7. There were three of 89 (3%) instances in which incorrect grades were entered into a student's course record in TEAMS.

### Management's Corrective Action Plan

A Corrective Action Plan (CAP) was provided outlining the activities to be implemented. The CAP appears to be sufficient to address the reportable conditions outlined in this report. Internal Audit will monitor the implementation of the CAP and schedule follow-up review(s) of evidence to ensure CAP activities have occurred.

### Conclusion

Internal Audit performed an audit that consisted of three phases in 2013-2014. During this audt we determined the design and effectiveness of the internal controls were adequate for the process of grade-level and semester course grade changes. Campuses demonstrated the ability to comply with the procedures by phase III and had low error rates in the areas tested.

For the current audit, we found that the design of the internal controls for semester course grade changes and grade-level classification changes continue to be sufficient to provide reasonable assurance of compliance. However, we found errors (non-compliance with District procedures) related to the operation of the internal controls. The results of the current 2016-2017 Course Grade and Grade-Level Changes Audit shows an increase in errors by campus compared to the findings of the 2013-2014 Audit's Phase III. Exhibit B in this report compares the error rates and trends between the current 2016-2017 Audit and the 2013-2014 Audit's three phases.

The Corrective Action Plan developed by the Area Superintendents should ensure campuses identify and address the root cause of errors to ensure compliance and reduce the risk of inaccurate transcripts.



### **Internal Audit Report**

### Background

The Course Grade and Grade-Level Changes Audit was approved by the Board of Trustees as part of the 2016-2017 Internal Audit Plan. This audit is a follow up to the 2013-2014 Course Grade and Grade-Level Changes Audit which was comprised of three phases and was part of the 2013-2014 Internal Audit Plan approved by the Board of Managers. The scope of the 2013-2014 Audit was: Phase I - 2012-2013 school year, Phase II - 8/26/13-11/8/13, and Phase III - 11/11/13-2/20/14.

For the aforementioned 2013-2014 Audit, by Phase III there was:

- Significant improvement of errors with zero errors in tested areas for <u>grade-level</u> <u>changes</u> except for: 1) required documentation, and 2) five day requirement to make a change, which had a lower error rate.
- Improvement in tested areas of <u>course grade changes</u> for required documentation, and only one campus had forms without teacher approval.

The District's Administrators' Reference Guide (ARG) addresses procedures for making course grade and grade-level changes. These include required forms in the Cummulative Record Folder (CUM), supporting documention, signatures of principal, counselor, teacher, and registrar to record entry dates to meet timelines to enter those changes into Total Education Administrative Mangement Solution (TEAMS).

### **Objective and Scope**

The objective and scope included reviewing and evaluating effectiveness of the design and operation of the internal controls for course grades and grade-level classification changes for the 2015-2016 school year at high schools.

### Methodology

- To achieve our audit objectives, we:
- 1. Researched relevant federal/state laws and regulations, Board policies, and Student and Parent Services' department procedures in the ARG.
- 2. Obtained and analyzed grade-level and final semester course grade changes for corresponding students for the scope period, and selected a representative sample for testing. Sample sizes are listed below:

Campus	Sample Size	*Number of Unique Students
ANDRESS	13	12
AUSTIN	31	23
BOWIE	16	13
BURGES	8	8
CCTA	11	11
CHAPIN	10	8

a. Grade-Level Changes Sample: 13 campuses with a total of 162.

Campus	Sample Size	*Number of Unique Students
CORONADO	29	21
DELTA	7	6
EL PASO	11	8
FRANKLIN	6	6



IRVIN	13	12
JEFFERSON	5	5
TELLES	2	2
TOTALS	162	(135 7) = 128 *7 students had grade eve changes at more than one campus.

b. Semester Course Grade Changes Sample: 14 campuses with a total of 129.

Campus	Sample Size
ANDRESS	20
AUSTIN	6
BOWIE	9
BURGES	6
CORONADO	20
EL PASO	11
IRVIN	11
JEFFERSON	6
FRANKLIN	12
CHAPIN	11
TRANS MOUNTAIN	3
CCTA	2
DELTA	1
FRANKLIN 9TH GRD CTR	11
TOTALS	129

### Inherent Limitations

Because of the inherent limitations in a system of internal controls, there is a risk that errors or irregularities occurred and were not detected. Thus, an auditor is able to obtain reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that procedures and internal controls are followed and adhered to in accordance with the federal, state, local policies, and guidelines.

Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control to future periods are subject to the risk that procedures may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

### Findings (01 to 02)

### Finding (#01)

r mung (#01)	
Conditions	We identified instances of non-compliance with District procedures for changing students' grade-levels listed as conditions one through nine below. Table 1 provides a summary of error rates by campus for each condition.
	1.1 There were 39 instances at 11 campuses in which the "Request for High School Change in Placement Form" was not consistently located in students' Cumulative Record Folder (CUM). The District error rate was 24% (39 of 162).
	1.2 There were 27 instances at 10 campuses in which the student did not have the correct number of credits for a grade-level change. Of the 27 instances, <u>23 did not have</u> a "Request for High School Change in Placement Form" in the CUM. The District error rate was 17% (27 of 162).



<ul> <li>1.3 There were 26 instances total in which the required three attachments to the "equest for High School Change in Placement Form" were not consistently located in students' CUMs. The District error rates by campus are summarized in Table 1 under the columns labeled as conditions 1.3a, 1.3b, and 1.3c.</li> <li>1.4 Grade-level changes were not entered in TEAMS within the five day requirement after receipt by the registrar in 10 instances at six (6) campuses. The District error rate was 8% (10 of 123).</li> <li>1.5 The "Request for High School Change in Placement Form" was not signed/approved by the principal in two (2) instances at one (1) campus. One of these forms was also missing the counselor signature. Note the affected students had the correct/sufficient number of credits for the grade-level change. The District error rate was 2% (2 of 123).</li> <li>1.6 The "Request for High School Change in Placement Form" was not signed/approved by the counselor in three (3) instances at two (2) campuses. The District error rate was 2% (2 of 123).</li> <li>1.6 The "Request for High School Change in Placement Form" was not sufficient credits for the grade-level change, and</li> <li>but counselor in three (3) instances at two (2) campuses. The District error rate was 2% (3 of 123).</li> <li>Franklin had one instance, the principal did not sign one of them, but in both instances, the students had the correct number of credits for the grade-level change.</li> <li>1.7 The registrar entered the grade-level change in TEAMS when making grade-level changes, in Olivide Errollment is not entered in TEAMS when making grade-level changes, in Olivide Errollment is not entered in TEAMS when making grade-level changes, in officitive date is not assigned for each grade level rather than giving the impression of one grade-level form for the antire year. The District error rate was 17% (28 of 162).</li> <li>1.7 The registrar entered in TEAMS in 28 instances at 10 campuses. The District error ra</li></ul>		·
<ul> <li>requirement after receipt by the registrar in 10 instances at six (6) campuses. The District error rate was 8% (10 of 123).</li> <li>1.5 The "Request for High School Change in Placement Form" was not signed/approved by the principal in two (2) instances at one (1) campus. One of these forms was also missing the counselor signature. Note the affected students had the correct/sufficient number of credits for the grade-level change. The District error rate was 2% (2 of 123).</li> <li>1.6 The "Request for High School Change in Placement Form" was not approved by the counselor in three (3) instances at two (2) campuses. The District error rate was 2% (3 of 123).</li> <li>Franklin had one instance, the principal signed and the student had sufficient credits for the grade-level change, and</li> <li>Irvin had two instances, the principal did not sign one of them, but in both instances, the students had the correct number of credits for the grade-level change.</li> <li>1.7 The registrar entered the grade-level change in TEAMS prior to approval/signature of principal on "Request for High School Change in Placement Form" in one (1) instance at one (1) campus. The District error rate was 0.% (1 of 123).</li> <li>1.8 The "Divide Enrollment" field was not consistently entered in TEAMS in 28 instances at 11 campuses. If Divide Enrollment is not entered in TEAMS when making grade-level changes, an effective date is not assigned for each grade level rather than giving the impression of one grade-level for the entire year. The District error rate was 17% (28 of 162).</li> <li>1.9 The "Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Checklist" was not affixed to the "Change in Grade Level Placement Form" in 51 instances at 10 campuses. The District error rate was 41% (51 of 123).</li> <li>The written procedure in the Administrators' Reference Guide does not adfress affixing the form, but is referred to in "Exhibit K Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Form" in 51 instances at 10 camp</li></ul>		"Request for High School Change in Placement Form" were not consistently located in students' CUMs. The District error rate was 7% for each of the required attachments. Note that the error rates by campus are summarized in
<ul> <li>signed/approved by the principal in two (2) instances at one (1) campus. One of these forms was also missing the counselor signature. Note the affected students had the correct/sufficient number of credits for the grade-level change. The District error rate was 2% (2 of 123).</li> <li>1.6 The "Request for High School Change in Placement Form" was not approved by the counselor in three (3) instances at two (2) campuses. The District error rate was 2% (3 of 123).</li> <li>Franklin had one instance, the principal signed and the student had sufficient credits for the grade-level change, and</li> <li>Irvin had two instances, the principal did not sign one of them, but in both instances, the students had the correct number of credits for the grade-level change.</li> <li>The registrar entered the grade-level change in TEAMS prior to approval/signature of principal on "Request for High School Change in Placement Form" in one (1) instance at one (1) campus. The District error rate was 0.% (1 of 123).</li> <li>The "Divide Enrollment" field was not consistently entered in TEAMS when making grade-level changes, an effective date is not assigned for each grade level rather than giving the impression of one grade-level for the entire year. The District error rate was 17% (28 of 162).</li> <li>The "Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Checklist" was not affixed to the "Change in Grade Level Placement Form" in 51 instances at 10 campuses. The District error rate was 17% (51 of 123).</li> <li>The written procedure in the Administrators' Reference Guide does not address affixing the form, but is referred to in "Exhibit K Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Form School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Form bot the form, "original Cozy of this form to be affixed to Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Form bot more form but is referred to in the administrators' Reference Guide does not address affixing the form, but is refer</li></ul>		requirement after receipt by the registrar in 10 instances at six (6) campuses.
<ul> <li>by the counselor in three (3) instances at two (2) campuses. The District error rate was 2% (3 of 123).</li> <li>Franklin had one instance, the principal signed and the student had sufficient credits for the grade-level change, and</li> <li>Irvin had two instances, the principal did not sign one of them, but in both instances, the students had the correct number of credits for the grade-level change.</li> <li>1.7 The registrar entered the grade-level change in TEAMS prior to approval/signature of principal on "Request for High School Change in Placement Form" in one (1) instance at one (1) campus. The District error rate was 0.8% (1 of 123).</li> <li>1.8 The "Divide Enrollment" field was not consistently entered in TEAMS in 28 instances at 11 campuses. If Divide Enrollment is not entered in TEAMS when making grade-level changes, an effective date is not assigned for each grade level rather than giving the impression of one grade-level for the entire year. The District error rate was 17% (28 of 162).</li> <li>1.9 The "Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Checklist" was not affixed to the "Change in Grade Level Placement Form" in 51 instances at 10 campuses. The District error rate was 41% (51 of 123).</li> <li>The written procedure in the Administrators' Reference Guide does not address affixing the form, but is referred to in "Exhibit K Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Flowchart." The "Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Request for Change in Grade Level for Change in Grade Level Placement checklist" form states on the bottom of the form, "Original Copy of this form to be affixed to Request for Change in Grade Level Placement &amp; Copy submitted to Counselor."</li> <li>Effect and Risks</li> <li>There is a risk unsupported grade-level changes may result in inaccurate grade-level changes in TEAMS and on students' transcripts.</li> <li>Cause</li></ul>		signed/approved by the principal in two (2) instances at one (1) campus. One of these forms was also missing the counselor signature. Note the affected students had the correct/sufficient number of credits for the grade-level
<ul> <li>sufficient credits for the grade-level change, and</li> <li>Irvin had two instances, the principal did not sign one of them, but in both instances, the students had the correct number of credits for the grade-level change.</li> <li>1.7 The registrar entered the grade-level change in TEAMS prior to approval/signature of principal on "Request for High School Change in Placement Form" in one (1) instance at one (1) campus. The District error rate was 0.8% (1 of 123).</li> <li>1.8 The "Divide Enrollment" field was not consistently entered in TEAMS in 28 instances at 11 campuses. If Divide Enrollment is not entered in TEAMS when making grade-level changes, an effective date is not assigned for each grade level rather than giving the impression of one grade-level for the entire year. The District error rate was 17% (28 of 162).</li> <li>1.9 The "Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Checklist" was not affixed to the "Change In Grade Level Placement Form" in 51 instances at 10 campuses. The District error rate was 41% (51 of 123).</li> <li>The written procedure in the Administrators' Reference Guide does not address affixing the form, but is referred to in "Exhibit K Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Flowchart." The "Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Flowchart." The "Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Flowchart." The "Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Flowchart." The "Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement &amp; Copy submitted to Counselor."</li> <li>Effect and Risks</li> <li>There is a risk unsupported grade-level changes may result in inaccurate grade-level changes in TEAMS and on students' transcripts.</li> <li>Cause</li> <li>The instructions on the form and the procedure in the Administrators' Reference Guide are not in alignment, which can cause confusion if the form is required to be attached to the "Change In Grade Le</li></ul>		by the counselor in three (3) instances at two (2) campuses. The District error rate was 2% (3 of 123).
<ul> <li>approval/signature of principal on "Request for High School Change in Placement Form" in one (1) instance at one (1) campus. The District error rate was 0.8% (1 of 123).</li> <li>1.8 The "Divide Enrollment" field was not consistently entered in TEAMS in 28 instances at 11 campuses. If Divide Enrollment is not entered in TEAMS when making grade-level changes, an effective date is not assigned for each grade level rather than giving the impression of one grade-level for the entire year. The District error rate was 17% (28 of 162).</li> <li>1.9 The "Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Checklist" was not affixed to the "Change In Grade Level Placement Form" in 51 instances at 10 campuses. The District error rate was 41% (51 of 123).</li> <li>The written procedure in the Administrators' Reference Guide does not address affixing the form, but is referred to in "Exhibit K Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Flowchart." The "Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Elocytor of this form to be affixed to Request for Change in Grade Level Placement &amp; Copy submitted to Counselor."</li> <li>Effect and Risks</li> <li>There is a risk unsupported grade-level changes may result in inaccurate grade-level changes in TEAMS and on students' transcripts.</li> <li>Cause</li> <li>The instructions on the form and the procedure in the Administrators' Reference Guide are not in alignment, which can cause confusion if the form."</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>sufficient credits for the grade-level change, and</li> <li>Irvin had two instances, the principal did not sign one of them, but in both instances, the students had the correct number of credits for the grade-level</li> </ul>
instances at 11 campuses. If Divide Enrollment is not entered in TEAMS when making grade-level changes, an effective date is not assigned for each grade level rather than giving the impression of one grade-level for the entire year. The District error rate was 17% (28 of 162).1.9 The "Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Checklist" was not affixed to the "Change In Grade Level Placement Form" in 51 instances at 10 campuses. The District error rate was 41% (51 of 123). The written procedure in the Administrators' Reference Guide does not address affixing the form, but is referred to in "Exhibit K Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Flowchart." The "Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Checklist" form states on the bottom of the form, "Original Copy of this form to be affixed to Request for Change in Grade Level Placement & Copy submitted to Counselor."Effect and Risks• There is a risk unsupported grade-level changes may result in inaccurate grade-level changes in TEAMS and on students' transcripts.Cause• The instructions on the form and the procedure in the Administrators' Reference Guide are not in alignment, which can cause confusion if the form is required to be attached to the "Change In Grade Level Placement Form."		approval/signature of principal on "Request for High School Change in Placement Form" in one (1) instance at one (1) campus. The District error rate
Checklist" was not affixed to the "Change In Grade Level Placement Form" in 51 instances at 10 campuses. The District error rate was 41% (51 of 123).The written procedure in the Administrators' Reference Guide does not address affixing the form, but is referred to in "Exhibit K Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Flowchart." The "Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Checklist" form states on the bottom of the form, "Original Copy of this form to be affixed to Request for Change in Grade Level Placement & Copy submitted to Counselor."Effect and Risks• There is a risk unsupported grade-level changes may result in inaccurate grade-level changes in TEAMS and on students' transcripts.Cause• The instructions on the form and the procedure in the Administrators' Reference Guide are not in alignment, which can cause confusion if the form is required to be attached to the "Change In Grade Level Placement Form."		instances at 11 campuses. If Divide Enrollment is not entered in TEAMS when making grade-level changes, an effective date is not assigned for each grade level rather than giving the impression of one grade-level for the entire year.
affixing the form, but is referred to in "Exhibit K Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Flowchart." The "Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Checklist" form states on the bottom of the form, "Original Copy of this form to be affixed to Request for Change in Grade Level Placement & Copy submitted to Counselor."Effect and Risks• There is a risk unsupported grade-level changes may result in inaccurate grade-level changes in TEAMS and on students' transcripts.Cause• The instructions on the form and the procedure in the Administrators' Reference Guide are not in alignment, which can cause confusion if the form is 		Checklist" was not affixed to the "Change In Grade Level Placement Form" in
grade-level changes in TEAMS and on students' transcripts.         Cause       • The instructions on the form and the procedure in the Administrators' Reference Guide are not in alignment, which can cause confusion if the form is required to be attached to the "Change In Grade Level Placement Form."		affixing the form, but is referred to in "Exhibit K Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Flowchart." The "Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Checklist" form states on the bottom of the form, "Original Copy of this form to be affixed to Request for
Reference Guide are not in alignment, which can cause confusion if the form is required to be attached to the "Change In Grade Level Placement Form."	Effect and Risks	
Criteria See Exhibit A criteria sources 2, 3, and 5.	Cause	Reference Guide are not in alignment, which can cause confusion if the form is
	Criteria	See Exhibit A criteria sources 2, 3, and 5.



Recommendations	<ol> <li>We recommend Student and Parent Services align procedures in the Administrators' Reference Guide with instructions on the "Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Checklist" form and inform stakeholders of revised written procedures.</li> <li>We recommend Area Superintendents, in conjuction with principals of campuses with high error rates, develop a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) to address the conditions outlined in this finding. The CAP should include activities that will address the root cause of the error and provide assurance the campuses comply with the District procedures. Guidance Services and Student and Parent Services may be used as a resource to provide training and support to appropriate campus staff, as needed; however, we recommend they not be the CAP owners as it appears errors are not caused by the process currently in place and errors do not appear to be systematic across all campuses.</li> </ol>
Management's Action Plan	Activity #05: "Follow up training session provided by Student/Parent Services and Guidance Services to High School Principals regarding proper procedures for completing Grade Change and Grade Level Change forms." Person(s) Responsible: Director of Student/Parent Services; Director of
	Counseling, Advising, and College Readiness; and Area Superintendents Implementation Date: 5/1/2017
	<u>Activity #06</u> : "Counseling, Advising, and College Readiness will coordinate training for Guidance and Assistant Principals on a review of District procedures and use of District-developed forms for Course Grade Changes and Grade Level Changes."
	Person(s) Responsible: Director of Counseling, Advising, and College Readiness
	Implementation Date: 5/1/2017
	Activity #07: "Counseling, Advising, and College Readiness will coordinate training on a review of District procedures and use of District-developed forms for Course Grade Changes and Grade Level Changes during New Counselor Academy."
	Person(s) Responsible: Lead Counselor, Counseling, Advising, and College Readiness
	Implementation Date: 5/1/2017
	<u>Activity #08</u> : "Counseling, Advising, and College Readiness will coordinate training on a review of District procedures and use of District-developed forms for Course Grade Changes and Grade Level Changes during High School Counselor Meeting."
	Person(s) Responsible: Lead Counselor, Counseling, Advising, and College Readiness
	Implementation Date: 5/1/2017
	<u>Activity #09</u> : "Student and Parent Services will provide a refresher training session to registrars on grade and grade level changes."
	Person(s) Responsible: Director of Student and Parent Services



Implementation Date: 5/1/2017

<u>Activity #10</u>: "Student and Parent Services will align the Administrative Reference Guide Procedures with the Instructions on the Secondary Request for Grade Change Checklist"

Person(s) Responsible: Director of Student and Parent Services

Implementation Date: 5/1/2017

<u>Activities #11, 13, and 16</u>: The respective High School Principals, "...will ensure students identified in the audit are in the appropriate grade level and supporting documentation is filed in the AAR Cumulative Record."

<u>Person(s) Responsible</u>: Respective High School Principals; High School Assistant Principals Guidance and Instruction; High School Registrars

Implementation Date: 5/1/2017

<u>Activity #17</u>: "High School principals will ensure that nine-week audits are conducted in regards to grade level change forms, as well as grade change forms. Audit will reflect 10% of the request submitted."

<u>Person(s) Responsible</u>: High School Principals; High School Assistant Principals Guidance and Instruction; High School Registrars

Implementation Date: 5/1/2017



Condition 1.9 The "Secondary School Request for Change in Grade Level Pracement Cheoklist" was not affired.	10% (1 of 10)	94% (17 of 18)	79% (11 of 14)	40% (2 of 5)	0% (0 of 5)	25% (2 of 8)	0% (0 of 26)	100% (4 of 4)	78% (7 of 9)	17% (1 of 6)	8% (1 of 12)	100% (5 of 5)	0% (0 of 1)
Condition 1.8 Registrar Did Not Use 'Divide Enrollment' in Grade-Level Change	15% (2 of 13)	16% (5 of 31)	13% (2 of 16)	38% (3 of 8)	36% (4 of 11)	10% (1 of 10)	10% (3 of 29)	43% (3 of 7)	18% (2 of 11)		15% (2 of 13)		50% (1 of 2)
Condition 1.7 Registrar Entered Grade- Level Change in TEAMS prior to signature of Principal on form	0% (0 of 10)		0% (0 of 14							17% (1 of 6)			0% (0 of 1)
Condition 1.6 "Request for High School Change in Placement Forow" Not Approved by counselor	0% (0 of 10)		0% (0 of 14		0% (0 of 5)		0% (0 of 26)		0% (0 of 9)	17% (1 of 6)	17% (2 of 12)		0% (0 of 1)
Condition 1.5 "Request for High School Change in Placement Form" Not Approved by Principal	0% (0 of 10)		0% (0 of 14)								17% (2 of 12)		0% (0 of 1)
Condition 1.4 Entry of Grade- Level Change Not Made Within Five Day Rquirement in TEAMS	10% (1 of 10)	22% (4 of 18)	7% (1 of 14)	20% (1 of 5)			8% (2 of 26)		11% (1 of 9)				0% (0 of 1)
Condition 1.3c Audit Sheet Not Attached to "Request for High School Change in Placement Form"	0% (0 of 10)	17% (3 of 18)	0% (0 of 14)		0% (0 of 5)		4% (1 of 26)	100% (4 of 4)	0% (0 of 9)		8% (1 of 12)		0% (0 of 1)
Condition 1.3b Standardized Testing Report Not Attached to "Request for High School Change in Placement Form"	0% (0 of 10)	17% (3 of 18)	0% (0 of 14)					100% (4 of 4)			8% (1 of 12)	20% (1 of 5)	0% (0 of 1)
Condition 1.3a Transcript Not Attached to 'Request for High School Change in Placement Form"	10% (1 of 10)	6% (1 of 18)	0% (0 of 14)					100% (4 of 4)			8% (1 of 12)	20% (1 of 5)	0% (0 of 1)
Condition 1.2 Incorrect Credits for Grade-Level Change High School Change in Change in Placement Form" was not Located in CUM	67% (2 of 3)	4% (5 of 13)	100% (2 of 2)	100% (3 of 3)	67% (4 of 6)	50% (1 of 2)	67% (2 of 3)	67% (2 of 3)	50% (1 of 2)		100% (1 of 1)		0% (0 of 1)
Condition 1.1 Request for High School Change in Placement Form' Not in CUM	23% (3 of 13)	42% (13 of 31)	13% (2 of 16)	38% (3 of 8)	55% (6 of 11)	20% (2 of 10)	10% (3 of 29)	43% (3 of 7)	18% (2 of 11)		8% (1 of 13)		50% (1 of 2)
Campus	Andress	Austin	Bowie	Burges	сста	Chapin	Coronado	Delta	El Paso	Franklin	Irvin	Jefferson	Telles

Table 1: Grade-Level Changes Finding 1- Conditions 1.1 – 1.9



Finding (#02) Conditions	We identified instances of non-compliance with District procedures for changing
	students' final semester course grades listed as conditions 2.1 through 2.7 below Table 2 provides a summary of error rates by campus for each condition.
	2.1 There were 40 instances at seven (7) campuses in which the "High School Grade Change Form" was not located in students' CUMs. The District error rate was 31% (40 of 129).
	2.2 There were two (2) instances at one (1) campus wherein the "High School Grade Change Form" was not signed by a teacher. The District error rate was 2% (2 of 89).
	2.3 There were 16 instances at nine (9) campuses in which the course grade changes were not entered in TEAMS within the three day requirement afte principal approval. The District error rate was 21% (16 of 77 applicable instances).
	2.4 There were 10 instances at three (3) campuses in which the registrar entered a revised grade change to a student's record in TEAMS prior to approval/signature of principal. The District error rate was 11% (10 of 88 applicable records).
	2.5 There were three (3) instances at three (3) campuses in which the registrars signature was missing from the "High School Grade Change Form." The Districterror rate was 3% (3 of 89).
	2.6 There were 47 instances at 12 campuses in which the "High School Grade Change Form" UIL (University Interscholastic League) information was no consistently filled out with required UIL information status for the course. The District error rate of 53% (47 of 89).
	2.7 There were three (3) instances at three (3) campuses in which incorrect grade were entered into a student's course record in TEAMS. The District error rate was 3% (3 of 89).
Effect and Risks	• There is no evidence of the required documentation demonstrating evaluation of students' grades which may result in inaccurate grades in TEAMS, on the students' transcript, and impact GPA (grade point average).
	<ul> <li>Not entering the course grade change in the time limit defined by Distric procedure may result in inaccurate course grades in TEAMS and on students transcript.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>A students' eligibility to participate may be inaccurate and possible noncompliance with UIL guidelines.</li> </ul>
	• There is a risk of non-compliance with TEC 28.092 Finality of Grade, which states a course grade issued by a classroom teacher is final and may not be changed.
Criteria	See Exhibit A criteria sources 1, 2, 4, and 6.



Recommendations	<ul> <li>Since two high schools had significant error rates (90% and 50% respectively) due to missing forms, we recommend the principals of these campuses and respective Area Superintendents provide the following:</li> <li>1. A written response explaining the root cause that led to the missing documentation in the CUMs, and</li> <li>2. An action plan to address the root cause of the errors and will provide assurance of future compliance with District procedures. Guidance Services and Student and Parent Services may be used as a resource to provide training and support to appropriate campus staff.</li> </ul>
Management's Action Plan	In addition to activities listed in this section, please also refer to activities #5, #6, #7, #8, #9, #10, and #17 under Finding 01, Management's Action Plan. <u>Activity #03 and #04:</u> "Principals of 2 campuses with high error rates will submit a written response that explains reasons for high error rates, to include a root cause analysis, and corrective action plan to ensure errors do not reoccur (corrective action plan must include monitoring procedures)." <u>Person(s) Responsible:</u> Area Superintendent and Assistant Principal Guidance & Instruction Implementation Date: 5/1/2017 <u>Activity #12, 14, and 15:</u> Respective Principals will review "documentation for students identified in the audit and determine whether grades are correct in the TEAMS system and supporting documentation is filed in the AAR Cumulative Record." <u>Person(s) Responsible:</u> Respective High School Principals; High School Assistant Principals Guidance and Instruction; High School Registrars Implementation Date: 5/1/2017



Campus	Condition 2.1 "High School Grade Change Form" Not in CUM	Condition 2.2 "High Schhol Grade Change Form" Not signed by teacher.	Condition 2.3 Entry of Course Grade Change Not Made in TEAMS Within Three Day Requirement	<u>Condition 2.4</u> Registrar entered revised grade change Prior to Approval/ Signature of principal	<u>Condition 2.5</u> Registrars' signature missing from "High School Grade Change Form."	Condition 2.6 "High School Grade Change Form" U L (University Interscholastic League) information was not consistently filled out	Condition 2.7 Incorrect grade entered into student's course record in TEAMS
Andress	90% (18 of 20)				50% (1 of 2)	100% (2 of 2)	
Austin	33% (2 of 6)	0% (0 of 4)	25% (1 of 4)	0% (0 of 4)	0% (0 of 4)	50% (2 of 4)	25% (1 of 4)
Bowie			30% (3 of 10)			80% (8 of 10)	
Burges	0% (0 of 6)	0% (0 of 6)	0% (0 of 6)	0% (0 of 6)	17% (1 of 6)	0% (0 of 6)	0% (0 of 6)
ССТА	50% (1 of 2)	0% (0 of 1)	100% (1 of 1)	0% (0 of 1)	0% (0 of 1)	100% (1 of 1)	0% (0 of 1)
Chapin						9% (1 of 11)	
Coronado	50% (10 of 20)	0% (0 of 10)	100% (2 of 2)	80% (8 of 10)	0% (0 of 10)	100% (10 of 10)	0% (0 of 10)
Delta				100% (1 of 1)		100% (1 of 1)	
El Paso	27% (3 of 11)		38% (3 of 8)			75% (6 of 8)	
Franklin	33% (4 of 12)	25% (2 of 8)	14% (1 of 7)	13% (1 of 8)		38% (3 of 8)	13% (1 of 8)
Franklin 9TH GRD CTR	18% (2 of 11)	0% (0 of 9)	13% (1 of 8)	0% (0 of 8)	0% (0 of 9)	11% (1 of 9)	0% (0 of 9)
Irvin			30% (3 of 10)		10% (1 of 10)	60% (6 of 10)	10% (1 of 10)
Jefferson	0% (0 of 6)	0% (0 of 6)	17% (1 of 6)	0% (0 of 6)	0% (0 of 6)	100% (6 of 6)	0% (0 of 6)
Transmountain							

### Table 2: Course Grade Changes Finding 2- Conditions 2.1 -2. 7



## Exhibit A: Criteria

Criteria Source	Criteria Details
Texas Education Code 28.0214 Finality of Grade	<ul> <li>Sec. 28.0214. FINALITY OF GRADE. (a) An examination or course grade issued by a classroom teacher is final and may not be changed unless the grade is arbitrary, erroneous, or not consistent with the school district grading policy applicable to the grade, as determined by the board of trustees of the school district in which the teacher is employed.</li> <li>(b) A determination by a school district board of trustees under Subsection (a) is not subject to appeal. This subsection does not prohibit an appeal related to a student's eligibility to participate in extracurricular activities under Section <u>33.081</u>.</li> </ul>
Texas Education Agency Minimum Standards for the Academic Achievement Record (AAR)	<ul> <li>1.9 Changing Data Entries</li> <li>AAR data entries that may change include address, class rank, etc. Such data should be maintained as accurately as possible at all times. All changes in the student record must be dated and explained, and this explanation must be kept as part of the student's permanent file. Please note that the TREx system can only include notes and attachments for transcripts sent to other high schools. Transcripts sent to institutions of higher education may not contain notes and attachments.</li> <li>1.10 Corrections <ul> <li>(a) All corrections must be made clearly and carefully and must convey correct data. Each correction must be explained elsewhere on the AAR or in attached notes. Each correction must be supported by documentation showing what was originally recorded on the AAR, the correction(s) made, and the reason(s) for the correction(s). Please note that the TREx system can only include notes and attachments.</li> <li>(b) Data entered on the AAR must accurately reflect the student's actual course completion and performance and must reflect teachers' records and the student's IEP, when applicable (TAC §74.14(a)). All completed high school courses, regardless of the amount of credit earned, must be entered on the AAR.</li> </ul></li></ul>
District Policy EIE (Local): Academic Achievement, Retention, and Promotion	Curriculum Mastery - Promotion, grade-level advancement, and course credit shall be based on mastery of the curriculum and compliance with attendance requirements adopted by the Board. Expectations and standards for promotion shall be established for each grade level, content area, and course and shall be coordinated with compensatory, intensive, and/or accelerated services
District Policy EIA (Legal): Academic Achievement, Grading/Progress Reports to Parents	<ul> <li>Finality of Grade - An examination or course grade issued by a classroom teacher is final and may not be changed unless the grade is arbitrary, erroneous, or not consistent with the district grading policy applicable to the grade, as determined by the board.</li> <li>A determination by the board is not subject to appeal.</li> <li>This subsection does not prohibit an appeal related to a student's eligibility to participate in extracurricular activities under Education Code 33.081.</li> </ul>
	Texas Education Code 28.0214 Finality of Grade Texas Education Agency Minimum Standards for the Academic Achievement Record (AAR) District Policy EIE (Local): Academic Achievement, Retention, and Promotion District Policy EIA (Legal): Academic Achievement, Grading/Progress Reports



No	Criteria Source	Criteria Details
5	Administrators' Reference Guide, Student and Parent Services, Grade Level Changes	Provisional enrollment – A student may be provisionally admitted to the District schools if the student has begun the required immunization and continues to receive the necessary immunization as rapidly as is medically feasible. The school must ensure that the required immunizations are received on schedule. [TEC 38.001; 25 TAC 97.62, .63, .71, .74] Grade level changes Campuses must adhere to Board Policy EIE Local for any grade level changes. The completed grade -level change form must be provided to the Registrar/Elementary PEIMS Clerk and placed in the student's Academic Achievement Folder/cumulative record.
		3. Corrections – if an error needs to be corrected or a change made on the AAR/CUM folder, <u>do not use correction fluid</u> . Draw a line through the existing item and write the new information above it. Initial any changes. All corrections must clearly convey the correct data and be made cleanly and carefully. Documentation must be maintained in the AAR/CUM record to indicate the reason for the correction, do not erase data entered on the AAR/CUM record and attempt to reenter except for temporary pencil entries. Backup computer records and an explanation of the reason for the correction, including a description of what was recorded on the original label must accompany an overlaying of labels.
		End of school year A list of retained grade 5 and grade 8 students is to be sent to the feeder schools by the last working day in June.
		End of summer school The schools are to forward to the feeder schools a list of all students who passed summer school by the last week of July.
		Grade level changes During the school year, the counselor shall initiate the process by completing a grade level change request form. The principal will review the request and approve or deny the request based upon the guidelines listed in Board policy EIE Local. Upon receipt of the form, the registrar/PEIMS clerk (elementary) shall change the grade level by using the divide method. The change must be made within five days of receiving the grade level change form. The form must be placed inside the <u>CUM record</u> .
		If the grade level change pertains to the Elementary and Middle School levels, the Registrar/PEIMS Clerk Elementary will also indicate the divide on the AAR/Cum Record as well.
		End of the school year high school grade level changes The registrar shall run a credit listing after grades are posted to transcript history in June and at the end of summer school. The listing shall be provided to the counselor and the guidance and instruction assistant principal. Upon review of the student's cohort, the counselor, guidance and instruction assistant principal, and/or registrar will initiate a grade level change. If the grade level change occurs after the new year roll, a grade level form shall be initiated by the counselor.
		Appendix A - STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES FOR PROCESSING RECORDS – See Appendix A; Appendix B - STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES FOR PROCESSING GRADE CHANGES - See Appendix B; Appendix C - HIGH SCHOOL COURSE GRADE FORM – MIDDLE SCHOOL GRADE CHANGE FORM – ELEMENTARY GRADE CHANGE FORM – See Appendix C
		Policy References: GBA, EIA (LOCAL)



No	Criteria Source	Criteria Details
5	Administrators' Reference Guide, Student and Parent Services, Grade Level Changes (continued)	EXHIBIT I EXHIBIT I EITEALE - Statute - Litell Student and Parent Services Request for Change in Grade Level Placement for High School Please Note: This form is to be used beginning on the first day
		School
		Student Student ID #
		Date of Birth Current Grade Level
		Request to place student in thegrade atSchool. The student meets the criteria for promotion according to Beard Policy BIE (Local) and according to Administrator's Reference Guide.
		Information:
		Number of Crodits Barnod To Dato
		First Year Earolled in High School
		Number Years Retained in High School Special Education (If a change in instructional arrangement is involved, ARD action is required.) Reason for placement:
		Records Enclosed: [* REQUIRED Documents - (Other documents submitted as applicable)]           Choiz AD Applicable           Copy of Transcript*           Three Graduation Letter           Copy of Transcript*           Records from Provious Soluced
		Capy of Audit Card* (to Ecclude information on LEP Prolific (New Billingual/LAMP/112.7 C188, Odyssey, Distance Learning, and Night school) Scalent)
		Attendance Review Decementation Form Progress Reports
		ARD Recommendation Copy of intel Recent Report Circl
		Sucamer Orades
		Counselor's Signature Date
		Approved Denied - Reason:
		Principal's Signature Date
		Registrar:
		Creigiani: Ragistine - (piece in Cumminitive Polder within 5 days); Capy Counsator Revised: 16/20/14 SPS-ENR-F005.1







No	Criteria Source	Criteria Details
5	Administrators' Reference Guide, Student and Parent Services, Grade Level Changes (continued)	EXHIBIT L EXHIBIT L School District Isstate - Italitate - Italit
		Operations and Administration Student and Parent Services SECONDARY SCHOOL Request for Change in Grado Level Placement Checklist
		Student Name School Counselor:
		to Registrar/PEIMS Clerk:
		<ul> <li>13 Date Denied Request for Change in Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Porm placed in Student Cumulative Folder: / / /</li> <li>14 Date COPY of Denied Request for Change in Request for Change in Grade Level Placement submitted to Counselor: /</li> <li>Counselor: /</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>15 Date of Receipt of Pinalized Request for Change in Grade Level Placement from Registrad/PEIMS Clerk://</li></ul>
		in CCRP Notes:// 18 Date of Copy Request for Change in Grade Level Placement placed in Counselor Audit Binder :/ 19 Date of Student/Parent-Counselor Conference regarding Request for Change in Grade Level Placement Required Documents to provide student/parent Copy of Updated Transcript (Secondary:/ 20 Date of Student/Parent-Counselor Conference entered in CCRP Notes://
		Original Capy of this form to be alflued in Request for Change in Grade Lavel Placement & Capy submitted to Constraint SPS-ENR-FD07.0 Revised: 9-9-14



No	Criteria Source	Criteria Details
<u>No</u> 6	Criteria Source Administrators' Reference Guide, Student and Parent Services, Grade Changes	Criteria Details         Appendix B         Standard Operating Procedures for Processing Grade Changes         Please Note: Campuses must use the EPISD Grade Change Form.         Before Grades are Transcribed:         1.       The PEIMS Clerk must review the grade marks report for discrepancies and notify the teacher.         2.       The teacher of record obtains a grade change form to document the grade change form the PEIMS clerk.         3.       The teacher of record completes the form to include their reason for the grade change, and their signature/date.         4.       The teacher of record returns the form to the PEIMS clerk.         5.       The principal signs the form.         6.       PEIMS clerk enters the revised grade into the course grade book.         After Grades are Transcribed:         1.       The teacher of record obtains a grade change form to document the grade change form the registrar.         PIEMS clerk enters the revised grade into the course grade book.         After Grades are Transcribed:         1.       The teacher of record obtains a grade change form to document the grade change form the registrar.         One teacher of record completes the form to include their reason for the grade change change, and their signature/date.         3.         The teacher of record completes the form t



No	Criteria Source	Criteria Details				
6	Administrators' Reference Guide, Student and Parent Services, Grade Changes (continued)	EXHIBIT D	Grade:			
		Progress Report     Current Grade     New Ornde     Report Period     Current Grade     New Grade       PR1A     1" 9 Weeks				
		PR2A 1 <sup>st</sup> Semester Exam				
		PR2B 1 <sup>st</sup> Semester Grade PR3A 3 <sup>rd</sup> 9 Weeks				
		PR3B 4 <sup>th</sup> 9 Weeks				
		PR4A 2nd Semester Exam				
		PR4B 2 <sup>nd</sup> Semester Grade				
		PR4C				
		Comments/Reason for Change:				
		*UIL Eligible: Yes No				
		Teacher's Signature & Date Principal's Signature & Date				
		Date Posted in TEAMS:       Registrar's Signature         Original: PEIMS Clerk (Prior to Posting) Registrar (After grades are posted for placement in AAR/Cum Record) Copy:       To be completed by the Principal				
		Copy: Counselor (Final Grade Change) * To be completed by the Principal 10/21/2014 SPS-TR-F006.0 Student and Parent Services				



# Exhibit B: Comparison Between 2013-2014 (Three Phases) and 2016-2017 Audits

**Purpose:** To compare the error rates between 2013-2014 audit (three phases) with 2016-2017 audit for each area tested and identify trends.

### **Grade-Level Changes Finding Trends:**

1. Request for High School Change in Placement forms not in student CUM



2. Request for High School Change in Placement form not approved by principal







### 3. Request for High School Change in Placement form not approved by counselor

### 4. Incorrect number of credits for the grade level change





### 5. Divide Enrollment was used not in TEAMS



### 6. Grade-level change not made within the required five days







### 7. Transcript was not attached to Request for High School Change in Placement form

### 8. Testing report was not attached to Request for High School Change in Placement form







### 9. Audit sheet not attached to Request for High School Change in Placement form

### **Course Grade Changes Finding Trends:**

### 10. High School Grade Change Forms not in CUMs







### 11. High School Grade Change Forms without teacher approval

