The annual Audit Plan establishes the framework for the activity of EPISD Internal Audit Department.



EL PASO INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

AUDIT PLAN

Prepared by	Internal Audit
Approved on	April 18, 2017
Amended on	May 15, 2018
Fiscal Year	2017-2018

Submitted by:





Mayra G. Martinez, CGAP, CFE, RTSBA Chief Internal Auditor

Approved by the Board of Trustees:

Trent Hatch, President Board of Trustees



INTRODUCTION AND CRITERIA (AP01)	1
Introduction Definition of Internal Auditing and Mission Statement Internal Audit Policy and Charter Responsibilities	1 1
2017-2018 AUDIT PLAN (AP02)	3
Audit Plan Development Process. Assurance Services Consulting Services and Other Engagements Corrective Action Plan Follow-up Services Activities Recommended or Required by the IIA Standards Administrative Activities	3 4 4 5
ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES (AP03)	8
Table AP03-1: Calculation of Work and Chargeable Hours Table AP03-2 – Internal Audit Department Budget	
RISK ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY (AP04)	9
Criteria Definitions Risk Assessment Process Table AP04-1 – Risk Factors1	9 9
EXHIBIT A: 2016-2017 RISK ASSESSMENT1	1

ABBREVIATIONS LIST

Audit Plan
Corrective Action Plan
El Paso Independent School District
Internal Audit
Institute of Internal Auditors
International Professional Practices Framework

Introduction

The International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing (*Standards*) and Board Policy CFC (Local) require an annual risk-based Audit Plan be developed to determine the priorities of the Internal Audit Department.

The Internal Audit Department has completed its annual Risk Assessment leading to the development of the Audit Plan for fiscal year 2017-2018. The audit plan establishes the framework for the activity of the El Paso Independent School District's (EPISD) Internal Audit Department.

The Internal Audit Department's activities outlined in the Audit Plan support the El Paso Independent School District's Strategic Priority to "Lead with Character and Ethics" and Board Goal 2, "Implement an accountability system for major district programs and Initiatives."

Definition of Internal Auditing and Mission Statement

According to the International Professional Practices Framework (IPPF), "The Definition of Internal Auditing states the fundamental purpose, nature, and scope of internal auditing. Internal auditing is an independent, objective assurance and consulting activity designed to add value and improve an organization's operations. It helps an organization accomplish its objectives by bringing a systematic, disciplined approach to evaluate and improve the effectiveness of risk management, control, and governance processes."

The mission of the Internal Audit Department is to enhance and protect organizational value by providing risk-based and objective assurance, advice, and insight. The Internal Audit Department is committed to certain values in carrying out its mission:

- Providing excellent service to our customers including the Board, employees, students, and the community of the El Paso Independent School District.
- Maintaining independence, objectivity, and confidentiality in the performance of our work.
- Performing our work in accordance with professional standards established by the Institute of Internal Auditors (IIA).
- Adhering to the highest degree of fairness, integrity, and ethical conduct.
- Characterizing our relationships with the Board, employees, and community of the El Paso Independent School District with respect, helpfulness, openness, and transparency.
- Maintaining our professionalism as internal auditors through continuance of our education and training.

Internal Audit Policy and Charter

Board Policy CFC (Local) requires that the "...Operation practices and procedures of the internal audit department shall be in accordance with the standards for the professional practice of internal auditing and code of ethics, established by the institute of internal auditors, as well as the District's policies and procedures."

Those standards require that the Board of Trustees approve a formal, written Audit Charter, detailing the purpose, authority, and responsibility of the internal audit function. The Board of Trustees approved the current Audit Charter in June 2016.



Responsibilities

The Chief Internal Auditor is responsible for developing a risk based audit plan and submitting it to the Board for review and approval. The audit plan should be dynamic and flexible to ensure Internal Audit can be responsive to changes from unforeseen issues and events during the year.

In conformance with Standard 2010 Planning, the Chief Internal Auditor will "...review and adjust the plan, as necessary, in response to changes in the organization's business, risks, operations, programs, systems, and controls." Any significant changes required of the plan will be presented to the Board for approval. In accordance with the Internal Audit Charter (CFC Exhibit), the Chief Internal Auditor will provide monthly reports on the internal audit activities and related matters.

The Internal Audit Department staff will remain objective and exercise due professional care in performing their work. Internal Audit staff will have no direct operational responsibility or authority over any of the activities audited. Accordingly, they will not implement internal controls, develop procedures, install systems, prepare records, or engage in any other activity that may impair an internal auditor's judgment.



Audit Plan Development Process

Performance Standard 2010.A1 requires the Audit Plan be based on a documented risk assessment, which is recognized as the preferred process for identifying, measuring, and prioritizing risks based on their likelihood of occurrence and the magnitude of impact. The risk assessment serves as a tool used by Internal Audit to develop the annual Audit Plan since it will help us identify and prioritize the potential areas of high risk, so that focus is placed on the auditable activities of greatest significance. The Risk Assessment methodology and results are included in section AP04 and Exhibit A of this report.

Consistent with our audit planning process, audit approach, and industry practices, we met with District leadership to seek their input on potential Audit Plan projects. The Chief Internal Auditor obtained input from the Board of Trustees regarding areas of concern/high risk and on the potential Audit Plan projects.

We also considered auditable units/activities for which (i) risks were discovered during the performance of our audits or (ii) identified by management as an area of concern. In addition, audit hours are also dedicated to Corrective Action Plan follow-up reviews, carry-forward projects, activities required or recommended as best practices by the IIA Standards, and administrative tasks related to the day-to-day operations of the department.

The Internal Audit Plan, with activities, selection criteria, and risk rating is included in this section. The Audit Plan services/activities are listed in alphabetical within the following sections:

- Assurance Services
- Consulting Services and Other Engagements
- Corrective Action Follow-up Services
- > Activities Recommended or Required by the Standards
- Administrative Duties

Assurance Services

The IIA defines assurance services as "...objective examinations of evidence for the purpose of providing an independent assessment on governance, risk management, and control processes for the organization." Examples include financial, compliance, system security, and due diligence engagements.

Aud	it Plan Services/Activities	Selection Criteria	Risk Rank (Likelihood/Impact)
1.	2016 Bond Program - Consulting Services	Identified as a high risk auditable unit	Medium/High
2.	Athletic Funds Project	Assistance requested by management	High/Medium
3.	Campus and Student Activity Fund Audits	 Administration identified as an area of concern Per TEA's Financial Accountability System Resource Guide Section 5.5.4.14 	Medium/Medium
4.	Special Education Students Participation in Bilingual/ESL Programs	Identified as a high risk auditable unit	High/High



Assurance Services (continued)

Aud	it Plan Services/Activities	Selection Criteria	Risk Rank (Likelihood/Impact)
5.	Individualized Education Plan (IEP) and SHARS Audit	 Identified as a high risk auditable unit Administration and Internal Audit identified as an area of concern 	High/Medium
6.	Payroll Audits	 Identified as a high risk auditable unit Administration identified as an area of concern Internal Audit staff identified as high risk during the performance of our audits 	High/High
7.	Purchasing Card Program Audit	Requested by management to assess new activity	Medium/Medium
8.	Student Discipline Audit	Identified as a high risk auditable unit	Medium/High
9.	Vendor Master File Audit	Administration identified as an area of concern	Medium/Medium

Consulting Services and Other Engagements

The IIA defines consulting services, "Advisory and related client service activities, the nature and scope of which are agreed with the client, are intended to add value and improve an organization's governance, risk management, and control processes without the internal auditor assuming management responsibility" Examples include counsel, advice, and facilitation.

Aud	it Plan Services/Activities	Selection Criteria	Risk Rank (Likelihood/Impact)
10.	Information Technology Security Consulting	 Information Technology auditable units were identified as high risk Internal Audit staff identified as an area of concern 	High/High
11.	Data Center Consulting	Information Technology auditable units were identified as high risk	High/High

Corrective Action Plan Follow-up Services

As it relates to Internal Audit findings and recommendations, according to *Standard* 2500, Internal Audit must "...monitor and ensure that management actions have been effectively implemented or that senior management has accepted the risk of not taking action."

Au	dit Plan Services/Activities	Selection Criteria	Risk Rank (Likelihood/Impact)
12.	Attendance Audit Follow- up	Follow-up audits are necessary to ensure CAP activities are effectively implemented	Medium/High
13.	Course and Grade Level Changes CAP Follow-up	Follow-up audits are necessary to ensure CAP activities are effectively implemented	Medium/Medium
14.	Course Number Coding and Reporting Audit Follow-up	Follow-up audits are necessary to ensure CAP activities are effectively implemented	Medium/Medium



Corrective Action Plan Follow-up Services (continued)

Au	dit Plan Services/Activities	Selection Criteria	Risk Rank (Likelihood/Impact)
15.	Hiring Process Audit Follow-up	Follow-up audits are necessary to ensure CAP activities are effectively implemented	Medium/Medium
16.	Limited English Proficient Audit Follow-up	Follow-up audits are necessary to ensure CAP activities are effectively implemented	Medium/High
17.	Misappropriation of Public Funds Investigation Follow-up	Follow-up audits are necessary to ensure CAP activities are effectively implemented	n/a
18.	Parent Engagement Leader Duties CAP Follow-up	Follow-up audits are necessary to ensure CAP activities are effectively implemented	n/a
19.	Procurement Audit Follow-up	Follow-up audits are necessary to ensure CAP activities are effectively implemented	Medium/High
20.	Student Transcripts Audit Follow-up	Follow-up audits are necessary to ensure CAP activities are effectively implemented	Medium/Medium
21.	TEAMS User Access Management/Provisioning Audit Follow-up	Follow-up audits are necessary to ensure CAP activities are effectively implemented	High/High
22.	Transfer Credits Audit Follow-up	Follow-up audits are necessary to ensure CAP activities are effectively implemented	Medium/Medium

Activities Recommended or Required by the IIA Standards

Aud 23.	it Plan Services/Activities Consulting Services	Selection Criteria Consulting services may include participating in various team meetings, workgroups, or committees within the District to serve in an advisory capacity to provide management with technical assistance in a variety of areas, and serve as an available resource on risk-related issues.
24.	Contingency Hours	 Audit plans are developed based on priorities and estimations of time. Contingency hours are reserved to ensure the risk-based plan is flexible and responsive to change from unforeseen issues and events during the year. The hours are reserved for unplanned projects, as needed, to include: 1. Facilitating external audits conducted by external auditors, TEA, USDE, or other agencies, 2. Coordinating requests for information/documentation from external or law enforcement agencies, and 3. Follow-up on allegations of fraud or significant non-compliance.



Activities Recommended or Required by the IIA Standards (continued)

Aud	it Plan Services/Activities	Selection Criteria
25.	Continuing Professional Development	Staff will participate in various courses in subjects directly related to auditing, the IIA'S IPPF, and specific/unique local education agency requirements to enhance auditors' knowledge, skill, and other competencies and meet continuing professional education requirements.
		In an effort to keep abreast of changes in the internal audit profession and best practices in auditing, fraud, school-district operations, and information security management issues, we have set aside hours for staff to participate in professional organizations including the local chapters of the IIA, ACFE, and TASBO.
26.	Ethics and Compliance Handbook	In accordance with professional standards and related practice guides, Internal Audit should maintain an active role that relates to both promoting and assessing ethics throughout the District.
27.	Fraud, Waste, and Abuse Hotline	In accordance with professional standards and related practice guides, Internal Audit should maintain an active role that relates to both promoting and assessing ethics throughout the District. This role may include hosting the District's Fraud, Waste, and Abuse Hotline and conducting fraud investigations (also refer to Reserve for Contingency Hours).
		Hours are set aside for monitoring incident reports received through the Fraud, Waste, and Abuse Hotline. Monitoring includes reviewing, distributing, and tracking the status of concerns reported through the hotline and forwarded to data/process owners for follow-up. Monthly status reports are provided to the Board.
28.	Quality Self- Assessment	To conduct an informal quality self-assessment of Internal Audit Department's implementation of the IIA Standards.
29.	Risk Assessment	Used to establish the risk-based audit plan required by the IIA standards.

Administrative Activities

Auc	lit Plan Activities	Selection Criteria
30.	Administrative Duties	Strategic activities such as developing the annual audit plan, reviewing staff competency needs, and planning for staff development.
		 Operational activities involving designing structures and processes aimed at achieving Internal Audit activity objectives and overall goals of efficiency and effectiveness. This includes hours allocated to: Assigning auditors to specific projects and allocating/tracking time for engagement activities, District-mandated training,
		 Interviewing and hiring new staff members, Staff appraisals,
		 Ensuring Internal Audit staff follow policies and procedures,
		 Monitoring the department's budget, and
		 Processing payroll and purchase orders



Audit Plan Activities	Selection Criteria
31. Implementation of Audit Management Software	We have set aside hours for Internal Audit staff to implement the Audit Management Software



Allocation of Resources (AP03)

Because we are confronted with virtually unlimited potential projects with limited resources, it is important that we determine the resources (staff chargeable hours and department budget) available to carry out the plan.

The 2017-2018 Audit Plan budget (hours) was initially based on 11,638 chargeable audit hours to be provided by nine (9) full-time equivalent audit professionals (including the Chief Internal Auditor). However, due to budget cuts in the department (one full time equivalent cut as of September 1, 2017), work and chargeable hours have been reduced by 1,544 and 1,457 hours, respectively. See table AP03-1 for calculation of hours.

Table AP03-1: Calculation of Work and Chargeable Hours

Category	9 FTEs	*8 FTEs	Difference
Work Hours Available (days on duty = 226 days)	16,272	14,733	(1,539)
Less:			
Leave hours (estimated)	936	852	(84)
Professional development	578	479	(99)
Administrative duties	3,120	3,216	96
Equals: Chargeable (Direct) Hours	11,638	10,186	(1,452)

*Effective date of change from nine to eight FTEs will be August 31, 2017.

With 90% of our department's expenses devoted to salary costs and uncontrollable costs, such as the District's Fraud, Waste, and Abuse Hotline and the copier/scanner annual lease, we carefully manage all discretionary aspects of our budget. Table AP03-2 provides a summary of the department's budgeted resources for fiscal year 2017-2018.

Table AP03-2 – Internal Audit Department Budget

90%	Salaries and benefits	669,333
30 /0	District Hotline	7,875
	Copier/scanner annual lease	2,600
	Part-time interns	31,450
	Training (travel and in-town) and resource materials	24,740
10%	Equipment and software	11,616
\neg	Department supplies and peripherals	5,557
	Memberships (IIA, TASBO, ALGA, and ACFE)	3,025
	In-city travel reimbursement	600
		\$ 756,796



Risk Assessment Methodology (AP04)

Criteria

According to the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing (Standard 2010.A1), "The internal audit activity's plan of engagements must be based on a documented risk assessment, undertaken at least annually. The input of senior management and the board must be considered in this process." However, more than a requirement, this process helps Internal Audit make the best use of limited resources, improves the department's ability to impact the District, generates buy in from management, and ultimately creates value.

The Chief Internal Auditor should "...take into account the organization's risk management framework, including using risk appetite levels set by management for the different activities or parts of the organization." However, if a framework does not exists, as the case is for the El Paso Independent School District, the Chief Internal Auditor should "...use his/her own judgment of risks after consideration of input from senior management and the board."

During the risk assessment, the IIA recommends Internal Audit considers "...the organization's size, complexity, life cycle, maturity, stakeholder structure, and legal and competitive environment. Recent changes in the organization's environment (e.g., new regulations, new management staff, new organization structure, new processes, and new products) may have introduced new risks."

Definitions

Risk is defined as the "...possibility of an event occurring that will have an impact on the achievement of objectives. Risk is measured in terms of impact and likelihood." IIA Standards Glossary Definition.

The audit universe consists of an organization's key risk areas (referred to as auditable units) that could be subject to audit resulting in a list of possible audit engagements that could be performed. Auditable units are key departments, programs, functions, or processes in the District that are exposed to sufficient risks where controls should be reviewed.

Risk Assessment Process

A Risk Assessment is recognized as the preferred process for identifying, measuring, and prioritizing risks based on their likelihood of occurrence and the magnitude of impact. The Risk Assessment serves as the primary tool used by Internal Audit to develop the annual Audit Plan since it will help us identify and prioritize the potential areas of high risk, so that focus of our work is placed on the auditable activities of greatest significance.

The Risk Assessment process begins with identifying the "audit universe" which is the sum of all auditable units in the District. We identify the auditable units based on a review of the District's organizational charts, strategic plan, annual budget, emerging risks and trends, and Internal Audit staff's understanding of the current risks impacting school districts. Auditable units included in the Risk Assessment are those that:

- Contribute to the District's mission, strategic plan, goals, and/or objectives
- · Are sufficiently large to noticeably impact the District
- Are sufficiently important to justify the cost of a control



Once the audit universe is established, Internal Audit measures the risk using 10 risk factors (in Table AP04-1 below) and a three-point risk factor scale. To help us in determining the score, we gather information relevant to the risk factors through questionnaires completed by the data process owners of each of the auditable units. However, the risk scoring is performed by Internal Audit. Once all auditable units have been scored they are ranked from highest to lowest based on their risk rating (likelihood and impact). Of the 90 auditable units identified in the Risk Assessment 62% are medium risk, 20% are low risk, and 18% are high risk. The 2016-2017 Risk Assessment is included as Exhibit A.

Table AP04-1 – Risk Factors

1	e APU4-1 – KIS	
	Criticality of Unit	This factor measures the importance of the unit to accomplish the mission of the District. This includes the inability of a unit to provide its service within a required time frame and/or at the expected level.
2	Financial Impact	This considers the overall dollar amount flowing through or committed to the unit/process from all funding sources (e.g. expenditures, grant amount, revenues collected/earned). This evaluates the impact of inappropriate activity from a financial perspective.
3	Level of Information Security	This factor considers the level of confidentiality of the information available/processed/ created/maintained by the unit.
4	Public Sensitivity	This measures the sensitivity of the unit to public exposure of critical internal issues. This considers the potential effect to the District overall as the result of negative information.
5	Internal Controls	This factor measures the effectiveness of the internal controls such as policies, procedures, and monitoring activities to address security of assets, segregation of duties, proper approvals/ authorizations, verifications/reconciliations, accuracy of data, etc.
6	Change in Management and/or Structure	This evaluates the extent of change in the structure of the unit. This includes changes in management and/or key employees and its impact on operations.
7	Changes in the Unit	This considers changes such as new activities and programs, new business processes, or new/changes in the District's priorities/goals.
8	Regulatory Compliance	This evaluates the complexity and volume of compliance regulations from outside entities. This includes any potential financial or non- financial penalties.
9	Complexity of Monitoring Activities	This factor considers the locations/number of locations where activities and the monitoring of these activities take place.
10	Audit History	This considers whether there has been an audit of the unit, the last time an audit was performed, and the results of such audit.



Exhibit A: 2016-2017 Risk Assessment



Internal Audit Risk Assessment Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Organizational Unit: <u>Finance and Operations</u>

							A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER								
		:			Level of	Public					Complexity of				
			Criticality	Financial	Information	Sensitivit	_	Changes in	Changes	Regulatory		Audit			Risk Rating
	(Key	(Key process, activity, program, function, or department)	of Unit	Impact	Security	~	Controls	Mgmt/Struct	in Unit	Compliance	Activities	History	Weighted	Scores	(Likelihood
	110	IT Contribution	10% 2	10%	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	10%	20%	3	3 %	10%	10% 3	10% 2	17.00		/Impact)
	707	Pavmll Process			0			-	, .	1 00	o	o	16.00	11 00	High/High
Ť	911	Network Administration	0	0 0	I თ	0 0	, m	· m	. e	, .	, m		16.00	10.00	High/High
911 Technoloav Services	911	Disaster Recovery and Business Continuity	e	2	e	e	e	e	e	-	5	e	15.00	11.00	High/High
	911	Data Center	2	2	e	e	e	e	e	-	2	e	15.00	10.00	Hiah/Hiah
	911	Business FRP Implementation	e	7	2	0	m	e	e	2	2	e	16.00	00.6	High/Medium
1	911	Change Management	2	7	2	0	m	e	e	-	5	e	15.00	8 00	High/Medium
1	032	2016 Rond Dmdram	1 07	I m		1 07			. c.				14 00	11 00	Madinm/Hinh
1	729	Producement Process			. ~			-	~	1 00	1 00		10.50	11 00	Medium/High
-	000	Controlled Assets Inventory	o e.		• •				10		o e.	- e	00.01	00.01	Medium/High
1	7.07	Durchasing Card Dramm	-	, -	1 ("	1 °	10	1 0	1 ("	10		0 0			Modine/Modin
1	121	T	- c	- ~	o c	о с	4 C	o c	, ,	1 0	4 0	, c	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.0	Modium/Modiu
727 Financial Services	171	t	4 0	, ,	4 0	4 0	4 C	4 0		4 0	0	4 0	00.71	00.00	Mediuiti/Mediu
	171	T	N 0		N 0	, ,	v c	0	-	N (v +	° (13.00	0.00	
	67.7		~ ~	~ ~	N 0	N 0	m (- 0			- -		13.00	00.8	Medium/Mediu
	332	T	N 0	N 0	N 0	v c	v c	N 0	v •	v (N C	° (13.00	0.00	
	845	Ť							-				12.50	8.00	Medium/Mediu
	911	E-rate		. 7		N	.7 0			-	-		12.00	8.00	Medium/Mediu
	727	Federal/State Time and Effort	-	2	.7		.7		-	7	7	7	12.00	8.00	Medium/Mediu
935 Operations Support	921	Transportation Services - Fleet Management	m	2	7	7	7	.	. –	N	-	m	11.00	00.6	Medium/Mediu
	1	(Inventory and Preventive Maintenan			•	c	c	,	,	,	c			000	
733 Budget Department	7 33		0	~ ~	-	v c	N C	-	-	- c	v c	n -		8.00	Medium/Mediu
	200	Maintenance Building 6 Chindle		, c	- c	v .	ч с			v c	v c		0.00	م 00 م	
933 Operations Support Services	833	Maintenance, buildings & Grounds - Work Order Princess	v	v	v	-	v	_	_	7	7	0	00.21	00.7	
935 Operations Support	961	Food and Nutrition Services - Cafeteria Cash	2	-	2	5	2	e	-	-	2	e	12.00	7.00	
	727		5	-	7	e	7	-	-	e	-	-	10.00	8.00	Medium/Mediu
845 External Funding	845	Comparability and Maintenance of Effort	7	7	2	7	7	-	-	7	-	7	10.00	8.00	
932 Facilities & Construction	932		2	-	2	2	-	2	2	0	2	e	11.00	7.00	Medium/Mediu
-	921		e	-	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	e	10.00	8.00	Medium/Mediu
Services	_														
_	911	-	-	-	-	-	e	e	e	۲	e	e	16.00	4.00	High/Low
	845	Title I, Part A Program	2	m	2	e	-	2	-	2	5	2	9.50	10.00	Low/High
727 Financial Services	727	Accounts Payable Process	e	e	2	2	-	-	-	2	2	2	9.00	10.00	Low/High
_	729	Vendor Contracts	З	2	2	3	-	٢	+	2	2	2	9.00	10.00	
728 Treasury	728	Treasury Management	e	ю	2	2	2	-	-	7	-	-	9.00	10.00	
727 Financial Services	727	Travel	-	-	2	2	2	e	2	7	2	2	12.50	6.00	Medium/Low
845 External Funding	845	Impact Aid	-	-	2	2	2	-	-	7	ю	2	12.00	6.00	Medium/Low
845 External Funding	845	Prekindergarten Grant Program	-	-	2	2	2	-	-	7	2	e	12.00	6.00	Medium/Low
935 Operations Support	921	Transportation Services - Fuel Inventory and	-	-	2	-	2	-	-	2	-	e	11.00	5.00	Medium/Low
935 Operations Support	921	Transportation Services - Auto Shop	-	-	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	e	10.00	5.00	Medium/Low
845 External Funding	845	Title II, Part A Program	2	2	2	e	-	-	-	2	2	2	9.00	9.00	Low/Medium
935 Operations Support	961	Food and Nutrition Services - National School	2	2	2	2	-	2	-	2	2	2	9.50	8.00	Low/Medium
	200	Lunch Program (Free and Reduced Lunch)	c	c	,	c	•	c		c	c	c		000	
	901	Pood and Nutrition Services - Breaklast in the Classroom	v	v	v	7	_	7	-	7	N	N	00.8	0.00	Low/Medium
954 Risk Management	954		2	2	ю	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	8.00	8.00	Low/Medium
	931		2	-	2	-	2	-	2	÷	2	-	9.50	6.00	Low/Low
845 External Funding	845	Title I, Part D Program	2	-	2	-	-	2	-	2	2	2	9.50	6.00	Low/Low
845 External Funding	845	Title III, Limited English Proficient	2	1	2	-	-	2	۲	2	2	2	9.50	6.00	Low/Low
_	922	Warehouse Operations	-	-	2	-	2	-	٢	٢	-	2	9.00	5.00	Low/Low

COLDISTRICT	Internal Audit Risk Assessment Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Organizational Unit. <u>Finance and Operations</u>	nent ^{ions}											
CERNAL AUD						RISK F	RISK FACTORS						
				Level of Public	Public					Complexity of			
	Auditable Unit	Criticality	Financial	Information S	ensitivit I	nternal	Phanges in	Changes	Regulatory	Criticality Financial Information Sensitivit Internal Changes in Changes Regulatory Monitoring Audit	Audit		Risk Rating
Audit Universe	(Key process, activity, program, function, or department) of Unit Impact Security	of Unit	Impact	Security	y C	Controls N	Igmt/Struct	in Unit	Compliance		History	Controls Mgmt/Struct in Unit Compliance Activities History Weighted Scores	s (Likelihood
		10%	10%	10%	10%	20%	5%	5%	10%		10%	Impact	t /Impact)
951 Print Shop	951 Print Shop Operations	-	1	2	-	2	٢	-	-	-	-	8.00 5.	8.00 5.00 Low/Low

Exhibit A: 2016-2017 Risk Assessment



Internal Audit Risk Assessment Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Organizational Unit: Administration Academics and Sct

	PH AUDIT AUDIT	Org	Organizational Unit: <u>Administration. Academics, and School Leadership</u>	emics.	and S(chool Le	adershi	Q								
								RISK F	KISK FACTURS							
		Ę	- - -	Criticality		. 5	Public Sensitivit		Changes in	Changes	C Regulatory	Complexity of Monitoring				Risk Rank
	Audit Universe		(Key process, activity, program, tunction, or department)	ot Unit 10%	1mpact 10%	Security 10%	- 10%	20%			Compliance	ACTIVITIES 10%	HISTORY 10%	weighted Scores	scores	(Likelinood /Impact)
822	Special Education Services	\$ 822	MAC/SHARS	с,	7	7	7	7	m	5	m	m	m	15.50	9.00	High/Medium
800A	A Academics	800A	N Dual Language	e	-	2	2	2	e	e	2	e	m	15.00	8.00	High/Medium
800A	A Academics	800A	\ LEP/LPAC	e	2	e	2	2	e	2	m	e	7	14.50	10.00	Medium/High
822	Special Education Services	\$ 822	Special Education Services - IEP/ARD Process	m	5	m	2	2	n	5	m	ę	7	14.50	10.00	Medium/High
726	Administration	817	Student and Parent Services - Student Discipline	m	-	m	e	7	5	m	2	ę	7	13.50	10.00	Medium/High
726	Administration	817	Student and Parent Services - Attendance	3	3	e	3	-	2	2	e	2	2	11.00	12.00	Medium/High
71.4	Administration	726	Human Resources - Compensation	с с	π	0 0	0 0	~ ~	0 0	- c	2 0	- c	~ ~	10.50	10.00	Medium/High
822		10	Assessment Therapy Services	n m		0 01	α N	7 2	ν m	0 01	n m	n N	v m	14.50	9.00 9.00	Medium/Medium
726	Administration	817	Student and Parent Services - Student Enmilment	e	-	2	2	e	5	2	2	2	5	14.00	8.00	Medium/Medium
822	Special Education Services	\$ 822	SpEd Curriculum and Instruction	e	-	2	e	7	n	-	m	e	7	14.00	9.00	Medium/Medium
726	Administration	817	Student and Parent Services - Student Transfers	e	-	2	2	2	e	e	2	e	5	14.00	8.00	Medium/Medium
822	Special Education Services	\$ 822	504 Services	e	-	2	2	2	n	-	m	2	7	13.00	8.00	Medium/Medium
812	Innovation, Design & Development	812	Fund Development and Partnership	e	-	5	-	7	e	m	2	-	e	13.00	7.00	Medium/Medium
822	Special Education Services	\$ 822	Health Services	е	~	m	N	2	.	-	m	2	ო	13.00	9.00	Medium/Medium
726	Administration	817	Student and Parent Services - Graduation	<i>с</i> , с	c	~ ~	<i>с</i> о с	~ ~	0 0	- c	<i>с</i> , с	~ ~	~ ~	12.50	9.00	Medium/Medium
8004	A Academics	800.4	Active Learning/Power Up	'n	N	r	N	N	'n	N	N	N	N	0G.2T	9.00	Medium/Medium
726	Administration	817	Student and Parent Services - Student Transcripts	с	~	2	2	2	7	~	e	7	7	12.50	8.00	Medium/Medium
800₽	800A Academics	800A		т	~	7	2	5	n	2	7	7	7	12.50	8.00	Medium/Medium
8004	800A Academics	800A	Curriculum and Instruction	e	-	7	2	5	m	5	7	2	7	12.50	8.00	Medium/Medium
812	Innovation, Design & Development	812	College and Career Readiness and Innovation	m	-	7	2	7	7	m	2	2	0	12.50	8.00	Medium/Medium
808	21st Century Learning &	813	Career & Technical Education	e	7	77	-	7	m	-	77	2	7	12.00	8.00	Medium/Medium
726		726	Human Resources - Benefits	e	2	-	e	2	-	2	2	2	e	12.50	9.00	Medium/Medium
812	Innovation, Design & Development		Student & Family Empowerment	e	-	2	-	2	2	e	2	2	7	12.50	7.00	Medium/Medium
714	Office of the Chief of Staff		Strategy & Evaluation	2	-	7	2	2	2	2	7	-	e	12.00	7.00	Medium/Medium
714	Office of the Chief of Staff		PEIMS Support Services	e	-	2	-	2	-	e	e	2	-	12.00	7.00	Medium/Medium
809	21st Century Learning & Well Being	8090	Academic Comp/Speech/Journ	e.	-	5	-	7	e	-	-	2	m	12.00	7.00	Medium/Medium
808	21st Century Learning & Well Being	810	Health, Wellness & PE	e	~	7	~	2	e	~	-	7	ო	12.00	7.00	Medium/Medium
808	21st Century Learning & Well Being	831	Fine Arts	т	~	N	-	2	m	-	-	7	ε Γ	12.00	7.00	Medium/Medium
808	21st Century Learning & Well Being	841	Counseling & Advising	е	-	7	2	-	3	2	ε	7	2	11.50	8.00	Medium/Medium

Page 13



Internal Audit Risk Assessment Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Organizational Unit: <u>Administration. Academics. and School Leadership</u>

	Harris and A)))						4								
	-KNAL PO							RISK F	RISK FACTORS							
			Auditable Unit	Criticality	Financial	Level of Public Information Sensitivit	Public Sensitivit	Internal	Changes in	Changes	Regulatory	Complexity of Monitoring	Audit			Risk Rank
	Audit Universe	(Key	(Key process, activity, program, function, or department)	of Unit 10%	Impact 10%	Security 10%	y 10%	Controls 20%	Mgmt/Struct 5%	in Unit 5%	Compliance 10%	Activities 10%	History 10%	Weighted Scores	cores	(Likelihood /Impact)
822	Special Education Services 822	\$ 822	IDEA B Compliance	ю	2	N	~	2	n	-	e	-	-	11.00	8.00	
726	Administration	726	Human Resources - Employee Relations	2	-	e	-	2	-	-	2	-	3	11.00	7.00	
726	Administration	816	Student Ret & Truancy Prevention	2	-	2	2	2	-	-	2	1	3	11.00	7.00	
726	Administration	726	726 Human Resources - Hiring Process	з	-	2	2	٢	2	-	3	2	2	10.50	8.00	Medium/Medium
726	Administration	817	Student and Parent Services - Leavers	з	-	2	2	٢	2	-	3	2	2	10.50	8.00	
726	Administration	817	Student and Parent Services - Grade Level and	2	-	7	2	-	7	-	7	3	2	10.50	7.00	
			Course Grade Changes			_								_		
714	Office of the Chief of Staff		912A Accountabilty	e	-	2	e	2	2	2	2	-	-	10.00	9.00	Medium/Medium
726	Administration	726	726 Human Resources - Employee Records	2	-	в	-	2	-	-	2	2	-	10.00	7.00	Medium/Medium
726	Administration	726	726 Human Resources - Recuriting and Retention	e	-	2	-	2	-	-	2	2	-	10.00	7.00	Medium/Medium
726	Administration	832	Athletics	2	2	-	2	-	-	-	2	2	e	10.00	7.00	Medium/Medium
809	21st Century Learning &	809L	809L Live Materials/Science Resource	2	-	2	-	2	e	-	2	2	e	13.00	6.00	Medium/Low
	Well Beina													_		
809		846	Staff Development	7	~	7	~	2	ю	-	-	2	ო	12.00	6.00	Medium/Low
808		803	803 Academic Support Programs (ROTC)	2	~	2	-	2	~	-	-	2	m	11.00	6.00	Medium/Low
901	-	901	Police Services Operations	2	2	e	2	-	-	-	2	2	2	9.00	9.00	Low/Medium